

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC17

DOCUMENT TYPE: Ballot Result

TITLE: Ballot Result: ISO/IEC 10373-6:2001/FPDAM 8.2 - Identification cards
- Test methods - Part 6: Proximity cards - Amendment 8: Additional PICC
classes

BACKWARD POINTER: N 3680, N 3681, N 3740, N 3822, N 3835, N
3836, N 3899, N 3960 and N 3961.

SOURCE: 81% of eligible members have voted on this ballot. There were no
negative votes and 5 approvals with comments. With 19 voting in favour out of
27 votes cast the ballot has met the voting requirements to pass to the next
stage, FDAM.

However with 5 sets of comments the SC17 secretariat advises that a
resolution of comments take place at a scheduled WG meeting.

STATUS: 55242

ACTION ID: FYI

WORK ITEM:

DUE DATE:

DISTRIBUTION: P and L-Members of ISO/IEC JTC1/SC17
JTC1 Secretariat
ISO/IEC ITTF

MEDIUM: SERVER

NO. OF PAGES:

Result of voting

Ballot Information

Ballot reference	ISO/IEC 10373-6:2001/FPDAM 8.2 (17n3961)
Ballot type	CD/FCD
Ballot title	ISO/IEC 10373-6:2001/FPDAM 8.2 - Identification cards - Test methods - Part 6: Proximity cards - Amendment 8: Additional PICC classes
Opening date	2010-06-24
Closing date	2010-09-15
Note	

Member responses:

Votes cast (27)	Armenia (SARM) Austria (ASI) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Malaysia (DSM) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Portugal (IPQ) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) USA (ANSI)
Comments submitted (1)	Ghana (GSB)
Votes not cast (6)	Australia (SA) Finland (SFS) Kenya (KEBS) Romania (ASRO) Slovakia (SUTN) United Kingdom (BSI)

Questions:	
Q.1	"Do you agree with approval of the CD/FCD Text?"
Q.2	"If you approve the CD/FCD Text with comments, would you please indicate which type ? (General, Technical or Editorial)"
Q.3	"If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

Votes by members	Q.1	Q.2	Q.3
Armenia (SARM)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore
Austria (ASI)	Approval with comments	All	Ignore
Belgium (NBN)	Abstention	Ignore	Ignore
Canada (SCC)	Abstention	Ignore	Ignore
China (SAC)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore
Czech Republic (UNMZ)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore
Denmark (DS)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore
France (AFNOR)	Approval with comments	Editorial	Ignore
Germany (DIN)	Approval with comments	All	Ignore
India (BIS)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore
Israel (SII)	Abstention	Ignore	Ignore
Italy (UNI)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore
Japan (JISC)	Approval with comments	Editorial	Ignore
Korea, Republic of (KATS)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore
Luxembourg (ILNAS)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore
Malaysia (DSM)	Abstention	Ignore	Ignore
Netherlands (NEN)	Approval with comments	Ignore	Ignore
Norway (SN)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore
Poland (PKN)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore
Portugal (IPQ)	Abstention	Ignore	Ignore
Russian Federation (GOST R)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore

Singapore (SPRING SG)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore
South Africa (SABS)	Abstention	Ignore	Ignore
Spain (AENOR)	Abstention	Ignore	Ignore
Sweden (SIS)	Abstention	Ignore	Ignore
Switzerland (SNV)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore
USA (ANSI)	Approval as presented	Ignore	Ignore

Answers to Q.1: "Do you agree with approval of the CD/FCD Text?"		
14 x	Approval as presented	Armenia (SARM) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) Switzerland (SNV) USA (ANSI)
5 x	Approval with comments	Austria (ASI) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Japan (JISC) Netherlands (NEN)
0 x	Disapproval of the draft	
8 x	Abstention	Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) Israel (SII) Malaysia (DSM) Portugal (IPQ) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.2: "If you approve the CD/FCD Text with comments, would you please indicate which type ? (General, Technical or Editorial)"		
0 x	General	
0 x	Technical	
2 x	Editorial	France (AFNOR) Japan (JISC)
2 x	All	Austria (ASI) Germany (DIN)
23 x	Ignore	Armenia (SARM)

	Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) India (BIS) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Malaysia (DSM) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Portugal (IPQ) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) USA (ANSI)
--	---

Answers to Q.3: "If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"		
0 x	Yes	
0 x	No	
27 x	Ignore	Armenia (SARM) Austria (ASI) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Israel (SII) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Malaysia (DSM) Netherlands (NEN) Norway (SN) Poland (PKN) Portugal (IPQ) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) USA (ANSI)

Member:	Comment:	Date:
Austria (ASI)	Comment File	2010-09-15 10:40:08
CommentFiles/ISO_IEC_10373-6_2001_FPDAM_8.2_(17n3961)_ASI.doc		
France (AFNOR)	Comment File	2010-09-14 11:29:25
CommentFiles/ISO_IEC_10373-6_2001_FPDAM_8.2_(17n3961)_AFNOR.doc		
Germany (DIN)	Comment File	2010-09-13 09:50:16
CommentFiles/ISO_IEC_10373-6_2001_FPDAM_8.2_(17n3961)_DIN.doc		
Japan (JISC)	Comment File	2010-09-02 01:46:54
CommentFiles/ISO_IEC_10373-6_2001_FPDAM_8.2_(17n3961)_JISC.doc		
Netherlands (NEN)	Comment File	2010-09-14 10:00:41
CommentFiles/ISO_IEC_10373-6_2001_FPDAM_8.2_(17n3961)_NEN.doc		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Ghana (GSB)	Comment	2010-09-07 16:29:05
APPROVAL AS PRESENTED		